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# Purpose and Scope of Academic Program Review

Academic Program Review is a multi-semester process in which an academic unit conducts a self-study and writes a report that is then reviewed by the provost’s office, dean, college administrators, and a team of peer reviewers. During the self-study process, the academic unit identifies the mission, goals, and student learning outcomes for its degree programs. The unit, with help from the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning (OIEP), uses a variety of data sources to measure whether goals and outcomes are being achieved and uses the findings of the self-study to develop new goals and action plans that support the strategic directions of the unit.

The responsibility for program review belongs to the faculty under the direction of the chair/director or dean, depending on organizational structure. Units typically identify a committee comprising program directors, the undergraduate chair, graduate chair, and other key faculty members. Through the APR process, the faculty have the opportunity to make a systematic, comprehensive study of the unit and its academic programs that uses the self-study findings to purposefully plan changes in curriculum, services, research, and pedagogy to reach intended outcomes and goals. The primary purpose of this review is to critically examine the current state of the unit, the programs, relevant institutional data, as well as the faculty and student experience and use those findings to strengthen programs and improve student learning. This systematic process can be used to determine or make recommendations for resource allocation or new resource requests.

Most units are required to participate in APR every seven years. Time between reports should be spent making the recommended improvements or changes, and conducting ongoing student learning assessment. Units are also encouraged to routinely discuss the educational goals, learning outcomes, and curriculum maps for their degree programs during the years between self-studies.

Academic program review reports are used in Mason’s accreditation reporting to the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Council on Colleges (SACSCOC), and to the State Council of Higher Education for Virginia (SCHEV).

## Program Level Assessment

Program level assessment focuses on what a program is doing, and how it is contributing to the learning, growth, and development of students as a group. A quality assessment plan reflects specific program goals, measurable student learning outcomes, and a well-articulated plan for timely implementation, strategic data collection, and analysis. Findings should then be used to inform, confirm, and support program level change and facilitate continuous program improvement.

Assessment helps programs:

* Discover through empirical evidence what students are learning
* Identify gaps in student learning
* Inform pedagogy by aligning best practices with learners’ needs
* Make informed decisions about curriculum
* Demonstrate overall program effectiveness and showcase student learning

### Which programs participate in Academic Program Review?

All undergraduate and graduate degree programs that are not covered by an external accreditation organization that assesses student learning outcomes must participate in APR. This includes interdisciplinary programs. Certificate programs that meet certain criteria are also required to participate in APR.

## Overview of the APR Process

The APR process comprises the following elements:

1. Preparing for the self-study
	1. Review goals and student learning outcomes
	2. Select and invite members of External Review Team
	3. Prepare faculty and alumni surveys and/or focus groups
	4. Identify areas of focus for the self-study
2. Conducting the self-study
	1. Collect and analyze data and assessment results
3. Writing the APR report
4. Submission to External Review Team
5. On-site (or virtual) visit with External Review Team
6. Extern Review Team submits final report
7. Meeting between department and school/college leadership
8. Implementing action plans, responding to recommendations, and participating in ongoing assessment

The active APR process takes about 15 months, beginning with a fall orientation and ending with a closure meeting with senior leadership in the spring semester of the subsequent year. A timeline follows on the next page.

# Academic Program Review Timeline For Units Reporting in 2025

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Term** | **Task** | **Responsible Party** | **Deadline** |
| Fall 2023 | APR Orientation (Virtual) | Unit Leader/USSC | November |
| Appointment of Unit Self-Study Committee (USSC) members and share with OIEP | Unit Leader | November |
| Meet with OIEP after watching virtual orientation and selected USSC | Unit Leader/USSC | November/December |
| Identify potential members to serve on External Evaluation Team | Unit Leader/USSC | December |
| Spring 2024 | Invite members to serve on External Evaluation Team | Unit Leader/USSC | January |
| Review and analyze assessment data in Nuventive | Unit Leader/USSC | February |
| Review and analyze OIEP dashboards | Unit Leader/USSC | February |
| Attend APR Workshops (virtual) | Unit Leader/USSC | February-April |
| Conduct SWOT Analysis with unit faculty | Unit Leader/USSC | March-April |
| Identify and conduct level and peer comparison of 2-4 institutions | USSC/Program Faculty | March |
| Conduct assessment on SLOs not yet completed | Assessment Coordinators | April-May |
| Develop program improvement plans from SLO assessment results | Program Directors/ Assessment Coordinators | April-May |
| Develop unit goals and action plans | Program Faculty | May |
| Use data and results to identify trends, strengths, challenges, and areas for improvement | USSC | May |
| Fall 2024 | Select list of faculty to be included in the APR faculty survey and share with OIEP | Unit Leader | August-September |
| Present findings to faculty and develop goals and priorities for unit and programs | USSC & Program Faculty | August-September |
| Finalize action plans and SWOT Analysis | USSC & Program Faculty | September |
| Administration of faculty surveys | OIEP and Program Contact | September |
| Review results of the faculty surveys | Unit Leader/USSC | October |
| Finalize dates for External Review Team visit | Unit Leader | October |
| Write self-study | USSC | October |
| Submit self-study draft to OIEP | USSC | November 1 |
| Receive feedback on draft from OIEP | OIEP | November 15 |
| Submit self-study to OIEP | Unit Leader/USSC | December 15 |
| Create agenda for External Review Team site visit and send invitations | Unit Leader/USSC | December |
| Spring 2025 | Submit self-study to External Review Team | Unit Leader | January |
| Host External Review Team | All | February-March |
| Receive feedback from External Review Team | External Review Team | March-April |
| Meet with deans and university leadership to discuss external findings | Unit Leader/USSC | April-May |
| Submit revised self-study and/or MOU based upon feedback from the previous meetings | Unit Leader/USSC | May 1 |

# The Self-Study Process

APR begins with a yearlong self-study process. The self-study comprises many activities, and these activities require much time and thought. Therefore, careful planning is essential. The following sections outline each of the self-study activities and provide tips for how and when to conduct these activities. Generally speaking, all self-study activities require collaboration among several faculty members.

For academic units, the self-study includes all unit-level elements and program-level elements. Interdisciplinary programs not housed in a particular department or school will include some unit-level but mostly program-level elements. See the APR Reporting Template for the specific requirements for interdisciplinary programs.

## Self-Study: Unit Level Activities

### Selecting Members of the External Review Team

All departments will have their self-study reviewed by an External Review Team that will consist of 2-4 disciplinary faculty from peer or aspirant institutions. The selection process is a joint venture between the unit/department and their dean’s office. The unit/department should prepare a list of names for consideration for the External Review Team and then send that list to the dean’s office. Once approved, the dean’s office should be the primary point of contact for inviting external reviewers to serve. Example invitation letters and charges to the External Review Team are provided on the [***OIEP website***](https://oiep.gmu.edu/institutional-effectiveness/program-review/academic-program-review/). It is critical that you identify and invite members of the External Review Team early in the process to ensure schedule availability.

### Prior Unit Goals and Linking to Previous Reports

Although in assessment we often talk about outcomes, goals play a crucial part in APR. Goals are broader than outcomes, and an academic unit should have several goals that guide its operation. Goals can pertain to how a unit or program is run, but they can also be more theoretical, focusing on a particular method or framework that the unit will use. Goals often delineate the services, opportunities, or experiences that the unit or program would like to offer students.

The first step in the self-study is to reflect on previous goals and what has been achieved since the unit submitted its last APR report. If the unit has not participated in APR previously, other goal setting documents such as SCHEV program approvals, college curriculum committee proposals, and prior annual assessment reports can be reviewed. OIEP recommends developing a table or worksheet to record how previously set goals have been met, and which goals still need attention. Those findings along with the results of the SWOT Analysis can be used to begin the process of setting new goals, objectives and actions plans. A [***Goal Setting Worksheet***](#GoalSet) has been provided to assist units with this task.

### Soliciting Feedback from Faculty

In order to understand how current faculty perceive the unit, students, and leadership, a faculty survey will be administered by OIEP at the onset of the fall 2024 semester. Prior to administering the survey, Units will need to decide whether the survey should go to only tenure-line faculty, or whether term faculty and adjuncts will be included.

### Peer Comparison

Another important step in the self-study is a comparison of similar units or programs at peer institutions. The peer comparison may be qualitative or quantitative. Comparisons might include the number and type of degree programs offered, number of degrees granted, admissions criteria and acceptance rates, number of faculty, or levels of graduate student funding. Faculty from the unit should identify peer institutions and programs; it is up to the unit to decide which institutions should be considered peers for operational and strategic planning purposes. Units do not have to use Mason’s list of peer institutions. See the [***Peer Comparison Sources***](#Peer) handout for links to publicly available data. Programs are encouraged to contact peers directly for data and information that is not available via the sources provided.

### SWOT Analysis

A SWOT analysis is a planning tool that summarizes the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats to the unit. Strengths and weaknesses are determined by factors internal to the unit, whereas opportunities and threats come from sources external to the unit. While strengths and opportunities are positive, weaknesses and threats can be harmful to the continued success of the unit/program. Consider the following as you conduct the SWOT Analysis:

What are the **Strengths** of the unit?

What are our unique strengths?

 What do we well or better than anyone else?

What are the **Weaknesses** of the unit?

 What should we do better in the future?

What knowledge/skills/abilities do we lack?

What systems do we need to change?

What are **Opportunities** for your unit?

What (Who) are our key success enablers?

What partnerships can we create?

What additional “services” can we offer to our students?

What new market(s) are we well positioned to explore?

What are **Threats** to the unit?

What are barriers to progress and or improvement?

What are the possible impacts of what peers/competitors are doing?

What regulatory issue(s) be of concern?

OIEP and other campus partners will provide workshops throughout Spring 2023 that will assist and provide additional guidance with SWOT analysis. Ideally, all faculty members from the unit should participate in the SWOT analysis. The [***SWOT Analysis Worksheet***](#SWOT) can be used to record the main findings of the analysis. The SWOT analysis is best done at the unit level; however, individual degree programs can certainly be examined in the analysis.

***Setting Current Goals and Developing a Unit Action Plan***

Once the SWOT analysis, faculty survey, alumni surveys, and learning outcomes assessments have been completed, new goals for the unit will need to be set. The new unit goals should:

* Reflect careful consideration of the data and analysis presented in the self-study,
* Be focused on strengthening the program in improving student learning and success, and
* Be accompanied by action plans that will serve as the strategic direction for the unit for the next 5-7 years

Use the Current Goals table in the[***Goal Setting Worksheet***](#GoalSet) and the [***Action Plan Template***](#Action) to guide this process.

## Self-Study: Degree Program Level Activities

## The following sections pertain to activities of the self-study that should be conducted at the degree-program level. Units that offer more than one degree should do each activity for each degree program. As with the unit level activities, it is essential that multiple faculty members participate in order to include a broad representation of perspectives within each degree program.

### Soliciting Feedback from Current Students and Alumni

Several surveys are administered on an annual basis to graduating students and recent alumni. These include the Graduating Senior Survey, Graduate Student Exit Survey, One Year Out Career Survey, and Career Plans Survey. Searchable survey results for your program can be found by going to *SURVEYS* tab on the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning home page ([https://oiep.gmu.edu/)](https://oiep.gmu.edu/) and selecting the *Survey Results and Reports* from the dropdown menu. Trends over the last five years should be explored and discussed. Survey results for the current academic year will be available in October.

In addition to the survey results, OIEP has worked to develop a series of dashboards that will provide additional insight into the post-graduation plans and earning capacity of recent graduates. There will be other reports provided to units that allow them to look at how their graduates compare to other graduates in the region coming out of similar programs as well as the skills that employers in the region are looking for out of recent graduates in your unit.

### Making a Curriculum Map

A curriculum map visually represents when and where student learning outcomes are covered and assessed in the curriculum. Curriculum mapping should be done in collaboration with all the instructors who teach in the degree program. Ask instructional faculty to provide copies of syllabi, assignments, exams, papers, etc. to illustrate when and where student learning outcomes are covered in their classes.

[***How to Create a Curriculum Map***](#HowCurr) and [***Curriculum Map Template***](#CurrMap) can be used to guide the curriculum mapping process. The degree program’s curriculum map should also be uploaded in the document library of Nuventive.

### Creating Program Goals and Aligning Student Learning Outcomes

In the section above, unit-level goal setting was discussed. Just as with unit-level goals, program-level goals can be broad statements about how the program is run or the opportunities the program will present to students. The [***Goal Setting Worksheet***](#GoalSet) can be used for program-level goals, or you can simply generate a list of goals for each degree program.

Each degree program should already have identified 4-8 student learning outcomes and submitted reports on those outcomes in Nuventive. Ideally, these outcomes should link to the larger goals of the program or the unit. Note that programs that have already identified their outcomes, conducted their assessments, and reported the information in Nuventive (and previously Tk20) can use these outcomes and assessment data for their APR reports. Additional resources regarding assessment can be found on the [OIEP website](https://oiep.gmu.edu/institutional-effectiveness/annual-assessment/) and the [OIEP YouTube channel](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC2ATHI785iIOpSlyK6uYe4Q). Finally, consult the [Assessment Plan Feedback Rubric](https://oiep.gmu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Assessment-Feedback-Rubric-2020-2021.pdf) used by the Mason Academic Assessment Council to gain a better understanding of how best to address each area of your assessment report.

### Analyzing Institutional Data

OIEP collects and visualizes data about enrollment, degrees awarded, survey results and more. The data is extremely helpful for programs and can be disaggregated by significant diversity and equity variable such as race/ethnicity, gender, Pell status, and more. Aside from data visualizations, OIEP also collects data about student experiences, career plans, and post-graduation activities. These institutional data should be used to investigate student success and program effectiveness. Analyses should be based on data since the last APR submission. Use the links below to access the various data resources on the OIEP website.

* [OIEP Dashboards](https://oiep.gmu.edu/data-analytics-research/dashboards/) (Use VPN if accessing off campus)
* [Data Resources for APR](https://oiep.gmu.edu/institutional-effectiveness/academic-program-review/data-resources-for-apr-self-study/)
* [APR Data Resource video](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sQ34ozPOl30)

# Writing the APR Report

Once all the self-study activities have been completed, the APR report must be written. Two report templates are available: one is for departments/schools and the other is for interdisciplinary programs. The templates list all the required sections of the report, and under each section heading there is a short instructional paragraph that describes what should be included in that section. These instructions, written in italics, should be deleted once the final report has been written.

Writing the report will take a substantial amount of time, and the self-study activities completed during the first year will be incorporated into the report in various ways. Plan on spending the fall 2024 semester writing and compiling the report. Because units across campus vary drastically in size and scope, there are no page limits or length expectations. Units that run multiple degree programs will have longer reports than programs that offer a single degree. Lastly, units are expected to submit a draft report to OIEP. The draft will be reviewed and returned to the units with notes.

## Report Components

### Unit Overview

* **Mission.** Describe the mission of the unit in relation to the university’s mission and current strategic plan. See [***How to Craft an Effective Mission***](#Mission)Statement for guidance.
* **Discussion of degree programs offered.** Briefly describe each degree program that the unit currently offers, including certificates and programs that have external accreditation and will not be further discussed in this report. Also include a brief discussion of minors, if any are offered. When possible, describe when and why the program was established. For degree programs with external accreditation, provide general information about the accrediting body and when the last review or site visit took place.
* **Internal academic ties and contributions to university-wide initiatives.** Discuss academic ties to other units on campus. This section should also describe the unit’s participation in university-wide initiatives (i.e., *Students as Scholars* QEP, Mason Korea, Mason Impact, etc.) and Mason Core (formerly, general education) offerings.
* **External and international relationships.** Report major educational collaborations with local, state, national and international organizations or institutions. This section should indicate the unit’s involvement in educational activities outside of the immediate campus community. If the unit regularly offers study abroad opportunities or other international experiences for students and/or faculty, include a description of those activities.
* **Alumni relationships and activities.** Explain outreach efforts to the unit’s alumni. How does the unit keep in touch with alumni? Does the unit offer special programming for alumni? Does the unit give an alumni award or do anything to recognize alumni? Are alumni involved in the review of student projects?
* **Distance education.** Report the unit’s distance education offerings, both courses and degree programs. Explain plans for developing further distance education opportunities in the short and long term. Specify the extent to which the unit has worked with Mason Online or Wiley to develop DE offerings.
* **Faculty profile.** Discuss the faculty profile in terms of proportion of tenure-line faculty, full-time faculty, and faculty with terminal degrees. Address the diversity and area expertise of the faculty. Include relevant findings from the APR Faculty Survey regarding faculty satisfaction with various aspects of the unit. *Please do not include faculty CVs or bio sketches.*
* **Scholarly activity and service.** Assessment of the extent to which department scholarly activity and service goals are being met.
* **Resources.** Report the unit’s resources. This may include physical spaces and equipment as well as external funding through grants or gifts. Also include a discussion of the roles of the support staff in the unit.
* **Peer comparison.** How does the unit as a whole compare to peer institutions or universities that have similar programs? The peer comparison may be qualitative or quantitative. Comparisons might include the number and type of degree programs offered, number of degrees granted, admissions criteria and acceptance rates, number of faculty, levels of graduate student funding. Units are responsible for identifying peer institutions. Units do not necessarily have to use institutions on Mason’s peer institution list. OIEP can help with finding publicly available data from SCHEV and the U.S. Department of Education.
* **SWOT analysis.** Report strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats to the unit and use these as one of the sources for generating goals for the unit.
* **Other relevant information.** Discipline- or unit-specific accomplishments, needs, and concerns that help to understand the unit and its programs. Consider including upcoming or planned changes, challenges, initiatives, etc.
* **Unit goals and action plans.**  If the unit submitted an APR report in the past, discuss progress on meeting the previous cycle’s goals. Following this discussion, describe the unit’s new goals **(**[**Goal Setting Worksheet**](#GoalSet)**)** and associated action plans ([**Action Plan Template**](#Action)). Please reference the origin of each goal (i.e., SWOT analysis, peer comparison, faculty survey, etc.). An action plan should be developed for each goal that includes objectives, strategies for achieving the objectives, the anticipated timeline for achieving each goal, potential barriers, resource requirements and a process for evaluating achievement. Note: the unit’s goals should be broader than the goals reported in the degree program sections

Degree Program(s) (*include a separate section for each undergraduate and graduate degree program in the unit)*

* **Overview and mission.** Describe the history and development of the program, including any concentrations, tracks, or specializations that are offered. For programs that are well-established, discuss how the program has evolved over the years and how it has adapted to changes in the field and/or the university. For programs that are newer, describe the initial expectations for the program and any major changes that have been made to the program. Report the mission of the program in relation to the unit’s mission, the university’s mission and the current strategic plan. See [***How to Craft an Effective Mission***](#Mission)Statement for guidance.
* **Discussion of curriculum.** Discuss the curriculum: identify core courses and requirements, describe WI, RS, and synthesis courses as well as capstone experiences, internships, and senior paper/project requirements or options. Describe any major changes to the curriculum that have recently been made or that are planned for the very near future. ***Attach a curriculum map***, either in this section or as an appendix. If you have not created a curriculum map for your program, please see [***How to Create a Curriculum Map***](#HowCurr) in the appendix for guidance.
* **Size and scope of the program.** Analyze and discuss five-year trends of enrollments and degrees granted. Explain any major changes or significant downward or upward trends. Comment on retention of students in the program.
* **Student success****.** Discuss student satisfaction with the program, course offerings, faculty and advising. Also analyze students’ success upon graduating from the program in terms of graduate school acceptances and job placements. Describe the program’s advising system and its effectiveness.
* **Program-level outcomes and assessment.** List the program’s 4-8 student learning outcomes and for *each* outcome describe the measures and methods of the assessment, findings, and whether the findings met the achievement target. Units that offer programs at Mason Korea must report separately on Mason Korea students.
	+ Use primarily direct measures of student supported by indirect measures if available.
	+ Include the assessment instruments used (rubrics, achievement criteria, etc.) in an appendix to the self-study report.
	+ Undergraduate programs are required to have one learning outcome that supports written communication.
	+ Programs that work with *Students as Scholars* are asked to include at least one outcome related to undergraduate research & creative activities.
* **Interpretation of results.** What meaning does your team make of the assessment results? Describe the “big picture” or broader implications of the findings and how the findings will be used to improve student learning.
* **Program Goals and Improvement Plans.** Based on the outcomes assessments, student success data or other evidence identified and evaluated in the APR process, identify goals and develop improvement plans for the program. An improvement plan should be developed for each goal that includes objectives, strategies for achieving the objectives, the anticipated timeline for achieving each goal, potential barriers, resource requirements and a process for evaluating achievement*.* Use the Current Goals table in the[***Goal Setting Worksheet***](#GoalSet) and the [***Action Plan Template***](#Action) to guide this process.

### Certificates

A discussion of certificates should include the following components:

* The purpose of the certificate
* Student enrollment and characteristics of student participants (e.g. Who does the program serve?)
* Assessment of at least two outcomes
	+ One must be a learning outcome; others may be program outcomes
	+ Assessment of learning outcomes must include direct assessment of student work

### Concluding Statement

Discuss the main accomplishments, concerns or issues, and resources needed to carry out plans. Acknowledge upcoming involvements or issues to consider. Discuss decisions and recommendations for the unit’s programs.

##

## Tips for Report Writing

* **Plan ahead.** Do not wait until the last minute to write the report. Readers can easily spot a report that was written in a hurry.
* **Divide the workload.** Several faculty members should be responsible for the report writing. Consider using Google Docs or other file sharing methods so that collaboration is seamless.
* **Be concise.** Many readers will be reading several APR reports over the span of a few weeks. Rambling text and vague claims will make it harder for readers to focus on main points.
* **Take advantage of this opportunity.** The report is the place to highlight the unit’s achievements, to thoughtfully discuss how the unit and its degree programs can be improved, and plan for the future.

## Working Together as a Committee

A collaborative committee is crucial to the success of the program review. Select a committee of committed and well-respected faculty who are engaged in the research, teaching, and service activities of your program. Establish roles and responsibilities of the committee members, and identify key people outside of the committee who will need to be involved. Set up meeting times in advance, keeping in mind the 12-month self-study time period. Set agendas for each meeting, planning sufficient time for completing tasks in the interim periods. Consider setting up a longer retreat or planning period for key pieces of the process. A shared space on Microsoft Teams has been set up for APR committee members to share and exchange data, drafts, etc.

# The Review Process

All programs will have their self-study reviewed by an External Review Team comprised of invited faculty at peer and aspirant institutions. The department will submit their self-study to the External Review team in January of 2025 and will coordinate a subsequent on-site or virtual visit in which the members of the review team are able to meet with faculty, staff, administrators, and students associated with the department. The site visits should conclude by April and the review team will submit a report three weeks after their visit. Once the report is submitted it will be reviewed by the department and university leadership who will then meet to discuss any outstanding issues because agreeing to a Memorandum of Understanding. OIEP will provide support and guidance throughout the process.

# APPENDIX: Resources for the self-study

The Office Institutional Effectiveness and Planning hosts many useful resources for the self-study on its website.

**Academic Program Review**

<https://oiep.gmu.edu/institutional-effectiveness/academic-program-review/>

* APR Reporting Schedule
* Data Resources for the APR Self-Study
* Information for APR Reviewers
* APR Guides
* Institutional surveys and assessment reports

**Data Analytics and Research**

<https://oiep.gmu.edu/data-analytics-research/>

* [Academic Program Review support page](https://oiep.gmu.edu/institutional-effectiveness/academic-program-review/data-resources-for-apr-self-study/)

**Contact:**

Sheena G. Serslev

George Mason University

Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning

sserslev@gmu.edu

oiep.gmu.edu

##

## GOAL SETTING WORKSHEET

**STEP 1. IDENTIFY AND PRIORITIZE**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NEEDS, CONCERNS, AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT** | **SOURCE OF EVIDENCE** | **PRIORITY** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**STEP 2. DEVELOP GOALS**

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STEP 3. DEFINE S.M.A.R.T. OBJECTIVES**

**GOAL 1: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**GOAL 2: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**GOAL 3: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**GOAL 4: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## PEER COMPARISON RESOURCES FOR ACADEMIC UNITS

**Mason Data Resources from the APR Self-Study page:**

<https://ira.gmu.edu/academic-program-review/resources/>

**SCHEV:**

<http://research.schev.edu/>

On this SCHEV Research link the department can find data, by Virginia Institution, on Enrollment and Degree as well as many other areas such as post completion wages. The data is based on files that each institution submits to SCHEV.

*In order to find peers, you will need the CIP (classification of instructional program) code to search for other programs or departments. You can perform a simple search for CIP codes at:* <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55>.

**IPEDS:**

Main Data center: <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/Home/UseTheData>

Institution Comparisons: <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/datacenter/login.aspx?gotoReportId=1>.

The only report for which program CIP codes are reporting is the Degrees completions.

**National Center for Education Statistics:**

Main: <http://nces.ed.gov/>

College Navigator: <http://nces.ed.gov/collegenavigator/>. Searchable database by institution name, state, program, degree type and institution type with some program level data.

**NSF data:**

National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics: <https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/data.cfm>.

Academic Institution Profiles: <https://ncsesdata.nsf.gov/profiles/>. Presents selected data for individual institutions on doctorates, graduate students, funding and expenditures from four NCSES surveys.

**Chronicle of Higher Education:**

Main: <http://www.chronicle.com/section/Facts-Figures/58/?cid=UCHETOPNAV>

Graduation rates by state and institution: <http://collegecompletion.chronicle.com/>

**AAUP:**

Main: <https://www.aaup.org/>. Publishes information on education issues with an annual faculty salary profile.

**Professional Organizations**

## ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE

***Purpose:*** To create a “script” for your improvement efforts and support implementation.

***Directions:*** Using this form as a *template*, develop an action plan for each goal identified through the assessment process. **Modify the form as needed to fit your unique context**.

**Goal:**

|  |
| --- |
| **ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE** |
| **GOAL:** | **OBJECTIVES SUPPORTED:** **1.****2.****3.** |
| **Strategies** | **Responsible Party** | **Timeline** | **Resources** **Requirements** | **Potential****Barriers** |
| **1:** |  |  |  |  |
| **2:** |  |  |  |  |
| **3:** |  |  |  |  |
| **4:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Etc.**  |  |  |  |  |

**Evaluation Process** *(How will you determine that your goal has been reached? How are you tracking progress?)*

Adapted from: <http://www.imiaweb.org/uploads/pages/219_5>..do

## SWOT ANALYSIS WORKSHEET

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| STRENGTHS (*Maintain*)Positive internal factors that distinguish the unit or create value | WEAKNESSES (*Eliminate*)Negative internal factors that prevent success/improvement or decrease value |
| OPPORTUNITIES (*Acquire*)Positive external factors outside of the control of the program that if acted up may help your unit &program  | THREATS (*Avoid*)Negative external factors outside of the control of the program that may harm your program |

## ASSESSMENT PLAN TEMPLATE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Outcome Statement | Link to Program Goal | Measurement Plan | How will findings be used? |
| What evidence will be collected? (e.g., capstone project, paper, thesis defense) | When will evidence be collected? | Who will conduct the assessment? | What rubric, scoring sheet or other instrument will be used?  |
| Student Learning Outcome 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Learning Outcome 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Learning Outcome 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Learning Outcome 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Learning Outcome 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HOW TO CREATE A CURRICULUM MAP (*Adapted from University of Northern Colorado, Office of Assessment)*

**Steps to build a curriculum map**

Example 1 provides a template for building a curriculum map. Refer to Example 1 as you read the steps to build a curriculum map.

1. Place learning outcomes in matrix.Collect existing learning outcomes or create new learning outcomes if none exist. Write each student learning outcome in a separate row in the table.
2. Place courses and experiences in matrix.Identify the key/core courses and experiences that all students in your program should take. Write each course and experience in a separate column at the top of the matrix. Often (but not always) student learning and assessment occurs in courses. There are non-courses experiences in a program curriculum in which students are also taught and assessed. For example, student learning and assessment may occur when a student presents a project at a conference, participates in an internship, or gives a music recital. If there are critical student experiences in which student learning and assessment occur, then these experiences can also be added to matrix. It is recommended to create an initial curriculum map that only includes key/core courses and experiences because these are the main places in which the teaching and assessment of student learning outcomes will occur. If elective courses or support courses (e.g., general education courses) are critical to the program then include these courses. If possible and available, collect the student learning outcomes for each course. The course-level learning outcomes can help determine when and to what extent the program-level learning outcomes are taught and assessed in individual courses.
3. Add key to indicate learning and assessment.Use a key to indicate the extent to which learning occurs in each class related to the learning outcomes and in which class the outcomes are assessed (Example 2a). Examples 2b-c provide examples of other common keys used in advanced curriculum maps.
4. Analyze and modify.Analyze the curriculum map using the guiding questions in the next section. If necessary, modify the curriculum, student learning outcomes, assessment plan, approaches to teaching and learning in the program, etc. based on the analysis of the curriculum map. See the next two sections for more information on analyzing a curriculum map.

Example 1. Template for creating a curriculum map.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program-Level Student Learning Outcomes Upon graduation, students will be able to:** | Program Courses / Milestones (exams, defenses, etc. that do not have a corresponding course number) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add learning outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add learning outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add learning outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add learning outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add learning outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Example 2a. Curriculum map with advanced key showing extent to which learning occurs in courses and in which courses outcomes are assessed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program-Level Student Learning Outcomes****Upon graduation, students will be able to:** | **Program Courses** |
| Course 100  | Course 201 | Course 301  | Course 310  | Course 320  | Course 330  | Course 401 Senior Seminar |
| 1. critique human behavior and social structure from a sociological perspective.
 | I | I | E | E | R | R | E/A |
| 1. analyze social issues using sociological theoretical perspectives.
 | I | I | E | E |  |  | E/A |
| 1. apply research techniques in a sociology-related project with real world implications.
 |  | I |  |  | I | R | E/A |
| 1. communicate knowledge of sociology through written and oral work.
 | I | I | E |  | R | E | E/A |
| Key I = concept related to learning outcome introducedE = concept related to learning outcome emphasizedR = concept related to learning outcome reinforcedA = concept related to learning outcome assessed |

Below are other examples of keys that could be used:

Example 2b.

I = Introduced

R = Reinforced and opportunity to practice

M = Mastery at the senior or exit level

A = Assessment evidence collected

Example 2c.

1 = Some emphasis

2 = Moderate emphasis

3 = Significant emphasis

4 = Assessment occurs

**Using a curriculum map to evaluate a curriculum**

A curriculum map can be used to identify gaps between expected student learning outcomes and what is taught and assessed in a curriculum. A curriculum map can demonstrate if a course sequence effectively scaffolds and prepares students to achieve the learning outcomes. Identification of gaps and issues in a curriculum map can lead to curricular changes to improve student learning opportunities. Below are questions that can guide analyses of and discussions related to curriculum maps:

1. Are all student learning outcomes taught and taught with the appropriate sequence in the curriculum?
2. Are all student learning outcomes assessed and assessed at the appropriate time?
3. Do all core courses support the development of at least one student learning outcome?
4. Are there any core courses that don't support the student learning outcomes?
5. Do the core courses sufficiently support the development of the student learning outcomes?
6. Is the sequence of how the learning outcomes are taught across the courses appropriate and the most effective at supporting students' development of the learning outcomes?
7. What changes to courses, learning outcomes, sequence students take classes, and so on could improve the alignment between student learning outcomes and the curriculum?

## CURRICULUM MAP WORKSHEET

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Courses and Milestones** (exams, defenses, etc. that do not have a corresponding course number) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXAMPLES OF [DIRECT](https://www.csuohio.edu/offices/assessment/exmeasures.html#Direct) AND [INDIRECT](https://www.csuohio.edu/offices/assessment/exmeasures.html#Indirect) MEASURES

## Examples of *Direct* Measures of Student Learning

* **Scores and pass rates on standardized tests** (licensure/certification as well as other published tests determining key student learning outcomes)
* **Writing samples**
* **Score gains** indicating the “value added” to the students’ learning experiences by comparing entry and exit tests (either published or locally developed) as well as writing samples
* **Locally designed quiz, test, and inventory questions that relate directly to the outcome being assessed**
* **Portfolio artifacts** (these artifacts could be designed for introductory, working, or professional portfolios)
* **Capstone projects** (these could include research papers, presentations, theses, dissertations, oral defenses, exhibitions, or performances)
* **Case studies**
* **Team/group projects and presentations**
* **Oral examination**
* **Internships, clinical experiences, practical, student teaching, or other professional/content-related experiences**engaging students in hands-on experiences in their respective fields of study (accompanied by ratings or evaluation forms from field/clinical supervisors)
* **Service-learning projects or experiences**
* **Authentic and performance-based projects or experiences** engaging students in opportunities to apply their knowledge to the larger community (accompanied by ratings, scoring rubrics or performance checklists from project/experience coordinator or supervisor)
* **Graduates’ skills in the workplace rated by employers**
* **Online course asynchronous discussions** analyzed by class instructors

**Examples of *Indirect* Measures of Student Learning**

* **Course grades** Course grades are based on many iterations of direct measurement. But grades are an *indirect* measurement of any one course learning outcome because: (1) They represent a combination of course learning outcomes; performance on these outcomes are averaged out in a final grade, (2) They frequently include corrections not related to learning outcomes, such as extra credit or penalties for unexcused absences.
* **Grades assigned to student work in one particular course** also provide information about student learning *indirectly* because of the reasons mentioned above. Moreover, graded student work in isolation, without an accompanying scoring rubric, does not lead to relevant meaning related to overall student performance or achievement in one class or a program
* **Number or rate of graduating students pursuing their education at the next level**
* **Employment or placement rates of graduating students into appropriate career positions**
* **Course evaluation items related to the overall course or curriculum quality**, rather than instructor effectiveness
* **Number or rate of students involved in faculty research, collaborative publications and/or presentations, service or learning**
* **Surveys, questionnaires, open-ended self-reports, focus-group or individual interviews** dealing with *current students’*perception of their own learning
* **Surveys, questionnaires, focus-group or individual interviews** dealing with *alumni*’s perception of their own learning or of their current career satisfaction (which relies on their effectiveness in the workplace, influenced by the knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions developed in school)
* **Surveys, questionnaires, focus-group or individual interviews** dealing with the *faculty and staff members*’ perception of student learning as supported by the programs and services provided to students
* **Quantitative data**, such as enrollment numbers

 [Adapted from Maki, P.L. (2004). *Assessing for learning: building a sustainable commitment across the institution*. Sterling, VA: AAHE; and Suskie, L. (2004). *Assessing student learning: A common sense guide*. San Francisco, CA: Anker Publishing Company, Inc.]

##

## SLOA PEER REVIEWER RUBRIC

**Guiding Question: Does each program have an assessment plan that demonstrates what students will be able to do/know and is the unit using the findings to improve student learning?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Learning Outcomes** | **Excellent** | **Acceptable** | **Needs Attention** |
| Focus on student achievement | Describes in detail what graduating students will know and be able to do. | Describes in general what students will know and be able to do. | Focus is not on what students will know or be able to do, rather describes a process or what the program does. |
| Achievable/ Measurable | All use precise action verbs (e.g. recognize, distinguish, apply, critique, etc.) and are clearly linked to student work (learning). | Use of precise action verbs inconsistent; some are measurable but others could be made clearer. | Most outcomes are not realistic or it is not clear how the outcomes can be measured. |
| Achievement Targets |  |  |  |
|  | Identifies one or more meaningful achievement targets - based on previous results or existing standards; that are specific, measurable and aligned with outcomes. | A specific and measurable target is identified for each outcome/measure. Target may not (appear to) be based on previous results or existing standards. | Targets have not been identified for every measure or are aligned with process rather than results. Language may be vague or subjective. |
| Measures |  |  |  |
| Direct measures  | All outcomes assessed using multiple measures, of which at least 1 is a direct measure. | Utilizes a single direct assessment measure per outcome. | Not all outcomes assessed use direct measures or outcomes assessed using only indirect measures (e.g. course grades). |
| Assessment Instruments  | Assessment instruments (e.g. exams, rubrics, surveys, etc.) reflect good research methodology/current best practices with explicit criteria. | Instruments are adequate for the task but could use improvement. | Instrument does not appear adequate or appropriate. |
| Findings |  |  |  |
| Derived from evidence | Provides solid evidence that achievement targets were met, partially met or not met. | Provides some evidence that targets were met; evidence is not always convincing | Questionable whether targets were met, partially met or not met. |
| Interpretation | In-depth analysis and insightful use of the findings is evident.  | Adequate analysis and understanding of the findings. | Superficial or inadequate analysis and or understanding of the findings. |
| Improvement Plan  | Plan(s) describe how to optimally use results to strengthen student learning/curriculum/ assessment process. | Plan(s) is/are often but not always linked to findings; may not always recognize needs/opportunities/next steps. | No plan(s) for improvement is included or plan(s) is/are not clearly/entirely linked to findings. |

## DATA SYNTHESIS WORKSHEET for PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

***Student Enrollment, Retention, and Degrees (Enrollment data)***

What is the demand for the program?

Who are the majors in terms of demographic data?

Are current enrollment levels okay, too low, too high?

***Educational Experiences and Post-Graduation Activities (assessment survey data)***

How well does the program prepare students for post-graduation activities?

What are some suggestions for improving educational/curricular activities?

Quality of advising- what could be better communicated? Could advising be better structured?

## HOW TO CRAFT AN EFFECTIVE MISSION STATEMENT

A mission statement is a brief statement of the general values and principles which guide the program curriculum and/or department goals. There are several standard items that all mission statements should include. A mission statement sets a tone and a philosophical position from which goals and outcomes are developed. It communicates the overall purpose of a program or department. It distinguishes the program or department from similar areas, and it aligns clearly with the mission of the institution. It is also important to note that a Mission Statement is different than a Vision Statement. A Vision Statement looks to the future, where a Mission Statement is focused on what you are doing right now.

Four essential questions your mission statement must answer:

* Who are we?
* What do we do?
* Why do we do it?
* For whom do we do it?

**Who are we?** -- It’s as simple as it sounds. State the name of your program or department (i.e. “The mission of the XYZ program is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_”). Avoid vague pronouns like “Our mission is…”

**What do we do?** – This includes the primary functions or activities of the unit. Here, you will illustrate the most important functions, operations, outcomes, and/or offerings of the program or department.

**Why do we do it?** – The purpose of the program or department. Should include the primary reasons why you perform your major activities or operations.

**For whom do we do it?** – These are the stakeholders of your program or department. This is a term used in the business world, but is very much applicable here. The stakeholders are groups or individuals that participate in the program and those that will benefit from the program or department. Those of you who are writing a mission statement for an Academic Program, your stakeholders will most likely be your students.

**Structure of a mission statement**

This is what your mission statement can look like when you take those four questions, and put them in sentence form. This is a good example of a structure you can follow. It is important to note that your mission statement doesn’t have to look like this. The different pieces may vary.

The mission of <*the name of your program, department or unit*> is to <*your primary purpose(s)*> by providing <*your primary functions or activities*> to <*your stakeholders*>. (Add additional clarifying statements judiciously).

Examples:

The mission of the Department of Biological Sciences is to provide quality instruction and experiential learning in the broad field of biological sciences, to contribute to the field through scholarly research, to train the next generation of biological scientists and teachers, and to provide professional service.

The mission of the Department of English and Philosophy is to educate students in literary and philosophical content knowledge, critical thinking, and communication skills, thereby preparing them for careers as teachers, writers and other professionals and to pursue further academic studies.

Checklist:

* Is the statement clear and concise?
* Does it clearly state the purpose of the program or department/school?
* Does it indicate the primary function or activities of the unit?
* Does it indicate who the stakeholders are?
* Does it support the mission of the department, college, and institution?
* Does it reflect the unit’s priorities and values?

##

## A STEP-BY-STEP GUIDE TO ASSESSMENT

**Plan for assessment – Make it meaningful**

• What are the skills and knowledge you expect students of the program to have when they graduate?

• Talk to a wide range of faculty about what students seem to know and where knowledge gaps might be.

• Update the program’s mission statement if necessary. Linking the assessment to the mission statement can help keep the process focused and meaningful.

**Create (or revise) the program’s student learning outcomes**

• Ideal learning outcomes indicate who will demonstrate the learning and contain an action verb (avoid “know” and “understand”).

• [Bloom’s Revised Taxonomy](https://stearnscenter.gmu.edu/knowledge-center/course-and-curriculum-redesign/blooms-taxonomy/) and [Fink’s Taxonomy of Significant Learning](file:///Users/sserslev/Downloads/FINK_BLOOM_TAXONOMIES%20%281%29.pdf) are tools to support the drafting and revision of student learning outcomes.

• Write the learning outcome so that it is measurable, concrete, and fairly simple. Abstract, complex learning outcomes are difficult to measure.

**Map learning outcomes to courses (Curriculum Map)**

• Designate the learning outcomes that are covered in each course.

• Ask for faculty input to ensure that the curriculum map is accurate and faculty know the learning outcomes they should be targeting in their classes.

• Discuss courses that do not address any of the program’s learning outcomes. What is their purpose in the curriculum?

**Conduct the assessment – Who, when, and how to measure**

• Program-level assessment should be conducted only on students in the degree program. Do not include non-majors or students from other departments in a program-level assessment.

• Designate an achievement target. What percent of students should be able to achieve the learning outcome?

• Consider whether you want to assess achievement at the end of the degree program or whether you would like to show development throughout the program.

o To assess the end of the degree program, focus on the culminating final project of the program: capstone, senior project, Master’s thesis, dissertation.

o To assess development, create a rubric that focuses on development of skills and knowledge. Pick artifacts from two classes or experiences and use the same rubric to score each one (a longitudinal approach is ideal, but cross-sectional is acceptable).